

Crestmont Elementary School

2021-2022 School Accountability Report Card (Published During the 2022-2023 School Year)

General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>

For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>

For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2022-23 School Contact Information

School Name	Crestmont Elementary School
Street	1501 Sheridan Ave.
City, State, Zip	Roseville, CA 95661
Phone Number	916 771-1750
Principal	Jeri Farmer
Email Address	jfarmer@rcsdk8.org
School Website	www.rcsdk8.org
County-District-School (CDS) Code	31-66910-6031231

2022-23 District Contact Information

District Name	Roseville City School District
Phone Number	(916) 771-1600
Superintendent	Derk Garcia
Email Address	
District Website Address	rcsdk8.org

2022-23 School Overview

Mission:

The mission of Crestmont Elementary School is to maximize learning for each student based on the MASTER school domains, encourage a desire for learning, and promote a sense of gratitude and respect for others following the PBIS guidelines.

Vision:

The Crestmont School Staff will become a collaborative community of professional learners that is:

- Committed to the continuous improvement of each student and staff member
- Results-oriented

Focused on learning Collective Commitments:

- We will communicate the mission and vision of our School
- We will share accountability for fulfilling our mission
- We will develop and implement effective systems to support our vision
- We will use data to inform our decisions
- We will operate as a professional learning community
- We will provide the training and support needed to increase the capacity of our staff to fulfill our mission and realize our vision
- We will collaborate with staff and as grade-level teams
- We will nurture high levels of support and trust among all members of the Crestmont School Community

Teachers, parents, and students have continued to make technology a high priority at Crestmont School. Classroom and lab computers continue to be upgraded, funded through grants, PTC, and site monies. Crestmont teachers have received training and support to integrate various curricular technologies into the district-approved curriculum. Another major focus of the Roseville City School District and Crestmont School is MTSS, effective quality instruction and, Response to Intervention to support all students at their level of academic achievement. Teachers incorporate the elements of Multi-Tiered Systems of Support daily along with PBIS tiers. They meet regularly in site-based learning teams to support student learning through data analysis of assessments and curriculum development. Staff members meet in Professional Learning Communities with time allocated by the Roseville City School District to improve student learning and instructional strategies.

2022-23 School Overview

Crestmont serves 450-500 students. Parents do have a choice for in-person and the Roseville Virtual Academy, in grades transitional kindergarten through five. The passage of local Measure H Bond in 2002, afforded remodeling of site-wide infrastructure, heating, air conditioning, and construction of a multi-purpose room for assemblies and serving indoor lunches. The bond also provided improved technological access that supports Crestmont's focus on technology for students through a variety of computer programs. Classroom diversity at Crestmont has enhanced learning opportunities for every individual on campus. Students in fifth-grade classes have worked as peer tutors for the primary and intermediate special day and mainstream classes.

Our English language learners benefit from differentiated instructional strategies within their mainstream curriculum. The school also encourages the arts through the Art Docent program. We also keep our students on the move through our parent/staff-sponsored running club offered before school two days a week. As a MASTER School, we are focused on Mathematics, Art, Science, Technology, Enrichment, and Reading. This MASTER focus allows us to make decisions on what is best for each student.

About this School

2021-22 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	103
Grade 1	83
Grade 2	73
Grade 3	68
Grade 4	79
Grade 5	73
Total Enrollment	479

2021-22 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	45.5
Male	54.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2
Asian	1.3
Black or African American	1.5
Filipino	1.7
Hispanic or Latino	22.1
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2
Two or More Races	8.8
White	63.5
English Learners	5.4
Foster Youth	0.0
Homeless	0.8
Migrant	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	26.9
Students with Disabilities	12.3

A. Conditions of Learning **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	20.10	94.61	476.80	94.09	228366.10	83.12
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.39	4205.90	1.53
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	5.40	1.07	11216.70	4.08
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	11.50	2.27	12115.80	4.41
Unknown	1.10	5.35	11.00	2.18	18854.30	6.86
Total Teaching Positions	21.30	100.00	506.80	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	22.30	93.99	517.10	93.46	234405.20	84.00
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.18	4853.00	1.74
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	4.30	0.78	12001.50	4.30
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	16.30	2.96	11953.10	4.28
Unknown	1.40	6.01	14.40	2.61	15831.90	5.67
Total Teaching Positions	23.70	100.00	553.30	100.00	279044.80	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22
Permits and Waivers	0.00	0.00
Misassignments	0.00	0.00
Vacant Positions	0.00	0.00
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	0.00	0.00

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	0.00
Local Assignment Options	0.00	0.00
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.00	0.00

2021-22 Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0.00	0.00
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	0.00	0.00

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>.

2022-23 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

Roseville City Elementary held a public hearing on September 1, 2022, and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption.

Year and month in which the data were collected

August 2022

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
---------	--------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------------------------

Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark 2016	Yes	0
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin - Expressions 2015	Yes	0
Science	Discovery Education 2020	Yes	0
History-Social Science	Pearson Scott Foresman 2007	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

General

The District takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the District uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the Maintenance Office.

Maintenance and Repairs:

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The District annually inspects wheelchair lifts, tests fire extinguishers, inspects and services all playground backboards, replaces bark on playgrounds and landscaping, and services HVAC units.

Overall Summary of School Facilities Repair Status: Good

The inspection included a check of possible gas leaks, mechanical systems, interior and exterior doors and windows, interior surface areas, structural damage, electrical, playground equipment, and hazardous materials. In all areas this school passed inspection.

Cleaning Process and Schedule:

The District has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the District. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

Deferred Maintenance Budget:

The District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repairs or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. Since 2008-2009 the State has suspended the program due to State Budget Reductions. The District still maintains its own program.

Year and month of the most recent FIT report

12/2022

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			New office carpet
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External:	X			seal and stripe all asphalt parking lot

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences				
-----------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--	--

Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X		

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).
4. **College and Career Ready**
The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

SARC Reporting in the 2020-2021 School Year Only

Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

Options

Note that the CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

The 2020-21 data cells have N/A values because these data are not comparable to other year data due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the 2020-21 school year. Where the CAASPP assessments in ELA and/or mathematics is not the most viable option, the LEAs were allowed to administer local assessments. Therefore, the 2020-21 data between school years for the school, district, state are not an accurate comparison. As such, it is inappropriate to compare results of the 2020-21 school year to other school years.

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	53	N/A	60	N/A	47
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	41	N/A	50	N/A	33

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	215	209	97.21	2.79	52.63
Female	99	98	98.99	1.01	59.18
Male	116	111	95.69	4.31	46.85
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	39	39	100.00	0.00	38.46
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	19	19	100.00	0.00	68.42
White	145	140	96.55	3.45	55.71
English Learners	11	10	90.91	9.09	--
Foster Youth	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Military	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	65	63	96.92	3.08	39.68
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	26	25	96.15	3.85	28.00

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	215	208	96.74	3.26	41.35
Female	99	97	97.98	2.02	40.21
Male	116	111	95.69	4.31	42.34
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	39	38	97.44	2.56	26.32
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	19	19	100.00	0.00	57.89
White	145	140	96.55	3.45	42.14
English Learners	11	10	90.91	9.09	--
Foster Youth	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Military	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	65	62	95.38	4.62	24.19
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	26	24	92.31	7.69	12.50

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

For any 2020–21 data cells with N/T values indicate that this school did not test students using the CAASPP for Science.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	NT	40.28	NT	45.53	28.5	29.47

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	73	72	98.63	1.37	40.28
Female	38	38	100	0	42.11
Male	35	34	97.14	2.86	38.24
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	11	11	100	0	27.27
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
White	52	52	100	0	42.31
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	24	23	95.83	4.17	39.13
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

2021-22 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. Due to changes to the 2021-22 PFT administration, only participation results are required for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	88	87	97	90	100

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

2022-23 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents are a vital part of Crestmont School. They actively participate in the School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee, Parent/Teacher Club, and Art Docent, and as volunteers in the classrooms, library, and technology center. The Crestmont staff feels it is important to keep parents and guardians informed during the school year. Parents receive information regarding school activities weekly through email communication published by the school and PTC, as well as through classroom communication by teachers and the Roseville City School District. The PTC coordinates parent volunteer opportunities through the annual Carnival, Holiday Shop, Girls night, Boys Night, Family Movie Nights, skate nights, and the Jog-a-thon. Ken Lotich is the PTC President, (916)771-1750 or at <http://www.crestmontptc.org>. Follow Crestmont on RCSD Crestmont.

2021-22 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	513	502	195	38.8
Female	234	231	82	35.5
Male	279	271	113	41.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	2	0	0.0
Asian	6	6	3	50.0
Black or African American	7	7	1	14.3
Filipino	9	8	4	50.0
Hispanic or Latino	112	111	56	50.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	1	1	100.0
Two or More Races	51	50	16	32.0
White	321	315	114	36.2
English Learners	29	28	10	35.7
Foster Youth	1	1	1	100.0
Homeless	5	5	5	100.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	150	146	83	56.8
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	78	75	39	52.0

C. Engagement

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The 2019-20 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019-20 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019-20 school year compared to other school years.

Subject	School 2019-20	District 2019-20	State 2019-20
Suspensions	0.20	1.15	2.45
Expulsions	0.00	0.03	0.05

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through June, each full school year respectively. Data collected during the 2020-21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Suspensions	0.00	0.00	0.50	1.45	0.20	3.17
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.07

2021-22 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	0.00	0.00
Female	0.00	0.00
Male	0.00	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	0.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	0.00	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00
White	0.00	0.00
English Learners	0.00	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0.00	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	0.00	0.00

2022-23 School Safety Plan

Crestmont School has a School Site Safety Committee, which meets each year to review the safety needs of the school and make necessary recommendations. In addition, the Roseville City School District has a Safety Committee comprised of certificated and classified employees that meet annually and makes reviews of our school facility. Both the Crestmont School Leadership Committee and the District Safety Committee have stated that Crestmont School is safe and clean. The Roseville City School District has developed a Crisis Response Plan that outlines procedures to be followed in the event of an emergency affecting the school site. The plan includes procedures for coordinating resources to respond to any emergency including natural disasters, environmental health issues, accidents, or intruders. Staff members have been assigned roles and are trained to work efficiently with each other and with other public service officers to ensure the safety of students and staff. The Crestmont School Site Council Reviews the plan annually by March 1 and makes appropriate changes and updates when necessary. There are monthly fire drills. Soft Lockdown and full Lockdown drills are done throughout the school year. The Raptor lockdown process and procedures are fully developed. We will be practicing Lockdowns three times this school year with various students out at recess, etc. The date of review of the Crisis Response Plan is January 13, 2023. Date last discussed with the staff: January 2023. The Crestmont School staff, students, and parents participate in the PBIS Program. Each month an individual PBIS skill is highlighted and students are recognized for their positive behavior and actions at the monthly Flag Salutes.

D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2019-20 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2019-20 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	29		19	1
1	22	6	18	1
2	23	6	18	1
3	28		18	1
4	27		18	1
5	37			13

2020-21 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	21	6	19	
1	19	5	21	
2	20	5	21	
3	19	12	14	
4	26	6	14	
5	24	7	14	
Other	23	1	1	

2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	20	6	22	
1	17	11	21	
2	18	14	14	
3	17	13	14	
4	24	1	21	
5	22	1	21	
Other	8	3		

2021-22 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

2021-22 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	0.5
Social Worker	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.5
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	1.0

2020-21 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$10,704	\$4,029	\$6,675	\$83,978
District	N/A	N/A	\$7,047	\$87,043
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-5.4	-3.6
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,594	\$87,271
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	1.2	-3.8

2021-22 Types of Services Funded

The annual funding plan concentrates on providing students with materials, tools and experiences to enrich their education. Goals and progress are reviewed annually as a staff, to focus on our student needs when planning the budget. Data Team Meetings are part of our process to address students by name and by need. We continue to celebrate students being kind, safe, respectful, and responsible as part of our focus on PBIS. Prizes were purchased with LCFF money as well, chart paper, and colored markers for creating classroom support for all students.

The Teacher On Special Assignment (TOSA) is a district-funded position for the next school year as we continue to support the transition back to school after the pandemic. The additional Transitional Kindergarten Instructional Aide (for three and a half hours a day) also assists in supporting teachers and students. The IA is funded by the district.

2020-21 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$45,217	\$52,641
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$88,246	\$83,981
Highest Teacher Salary	\$102,743	\$107,522
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$126,918	\$136,247
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$138,354	\$142,248
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$139,199
Superintendent Salary	\$229,800	\$242,166
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	43%	34%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

Professional Development

In alignment with the Roseville City School District board goals, the Professional Development Advisory Committee (PDAC) plan was developed to create a comprehensive three-year professional development plan for the District. Training is provided to administrators and teachers that align with this plan during four professional development days. In addition, the school sites are provided three additional professional development days to focus on school site goals.

Other areas of professional development at Crestmont include: The focus this year is to continue to improve on speaking and listening skills. The skills of listening to your partner and paraphrasing what you heard is essential for all students. Speaking well and articulating your thoughts with a partner and in front of the class are really well developed. We are focusing on Reading RTI, Mathematics including RTI, ELD Designated, and Integrated.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	8	7	7